



A Cost-Effective Fluorination Method for Enhancing the Performance of Metal Oxide Thin-Film Transistors Using a Fluorinated Planarization Layer

> Sunbin Deng, Shou-Cheng Dong, Rongsheng Chen, Wei Zhong, Guijun Li, Meng Zhang, Fion Yeung, Man Wong, and Hoi-Sing Kwok

> > 2021/05/17



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Unanticipated  $\Delta V_{th}$  in Top-Gate (TG) Metal-Oxide (MO) TFTs after Planarization (PLN)
- 3. Fluorination Treatment on Bottom-gate (BG) MO TFTs via PLN
- 4. Conclusion

### MO TFTs with Instability Issues



[1] LG Electronics' SIGNATURE website

- [2] Apple YouTube Channel
- [3] IMEC press release

[4] van Breemen, et al. npj Flex. Electron. 4.1 (2020): 1-8.

[5] Ide, Keisuke, et al. Phys. Status Solidi (a) 216.5 (2019): 1800372.



Known electronic structure of a-IGZO with

#### Main defect forms:

- Oxygen vacancy/deficiency
- Weakly-bonded/ undercoordinated oxygen
- Peroxide
- Low valence state cations
- Hydrogen
- Macroscopic structural defects

#### A typical V<sub>th</sub> shift degradation occurred during stress



#### Stretched-exponential equation:



#### **Thermal Annealing/Oxidation for MO TFTs**



#### Positive impacts of thermal annealing<sup>[1]</sup>:

- Oxygen vacancy compensation
- Weakly bonded species removal
- Donor level and conductivity control
- Structural relaxation
- Etc.

#### A typical process flow of ESL MO TFTs in HKUST



- Substrate cleaning
- Buffer layer deposition
- Gate deposition & patterning
- Gate insulator deposition
- Channel deposition & patterning
- Ø Etch stopper deposition & patterning
- Source/drain deposition & patterning

#### Post-annealing



- High annealing temperature & Long annealing time
- $\rightarrow$  Large thermal budget
- $\rightarrow$  **NOT** cost-effective

### **Advanced Annealing/Oxidation Techniques**

• Annealing in different gases<sup>[1]-[3]</sup>





Wet oxygen

Nitrous oxide

• High-pressure annealing<sup>[4][5]</sup>



Ozone

Annealing atmospheres

[1] Nomura, Kenji, et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 93.19 (2008): 192107.
[2] Ide, Keisuke, et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 99.9 (2011): 093507.
[3] Rabbi, Md Hasnat, et al. IEEE Electron Device Lett. 41.12 (2020): 1782-1785.
[4] Yeob Park, Se, et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 100.16 (2012): 162108.
[5] Kim, Won-Gi, et al. Sci. Rep. 6.1 (2016): 1-7.
[6] Moon, Chang-Jin, et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces11.14 (2019): 13380-13388.
[7] Nakata, Mitsuru, et al. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 48.11R (2009): 115505.
[8] Teng, Li-Feng, et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 101.13 (2012): 132901.
[9] Kim, Choong-Ki, et al. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces3.36 (2016): 23820-23826.

• Irradiation assisted annealing<sup>[6][7]</sup>



- Lower annealing temperature and shorter annealing time
- $\rightarrow\,$  Reduced thermal budget
- Include additional facility and new materials in existing production lines
- → **NOT** cost-effective

#### **Fluorination Treatments for MO TFTs**

- Fluorine: the largest electronegativity (3.98) among all elements.<sup>[1]</sup>
- Bond-dissociation energy (D<sub>0</sub>): D<sub>0</sub>(In-F)= 516 kJ/mol or 5.327 eV > D<sub>0</sub>(In-O) = 346 kJ/mol or 3.586 eV<sup>[2]</sup>
- Fluorination is more efficient to passivate oxygen vacancy sites than thermal annealing/oxidation
- $\rightarrow$  Better device stability & less thermal budget.



Jung, Kyung-Mo, et al. J. Phys. D. 53.35 (2020): 355107.
Miyakawa, Masashi, et al. AIP Adv. 10.6 (2020): 065004.
Lu, Lei, et al. IEEE Electron Device Lett. 39.2 (2017): 196-199.
Wang, Sisi, et al. J. Soc. Inf. Disp. 28.6 (2020): 520-527.
Ye, Zhi, et al. IEEE Electron Device Lett. 33.4 (2012): 549-551.



- However, many prevalent fluorination treatments are performed under relatively harsh conditions.
- → Physical bombardments on MO channels, result in SS deterioration and newly emerged instability issues.
- Additional fluorination steps are inserted into the existing process flows
- $\rightarrow$  **NOT** cost-effective



### Unanticipated $\Delta V_{th}$ in TG MO TFTs after PLN (I)



✓ Not only the curing step but also the F-PI PLN layer is helpful for improving device performance.

→ The PLN process is more efficient than conventional thermal annealing.

ILD = Inter-Layer Dielectric PLN = Planarization

F-PI = Fluorinated Polvimide

### Unanticipated $\Delta V_{th}$ in TG MO TFTs after PLN (II)

#### Underlying mechanism & Device uniformity





Key electrical parameters of 10 samples TG MO TFTs (after PLN) selected from the top, bottom, left, right, and center of a 4-inch glass wafer

	µ <sub>sat</sub> (cm²/Vs)	V <sub>th</sub> (V)	SS (mV/decade)	On-off ratio
Ave.	18.36	0.23	84.8	4.7×10 <sup>9</sup>
S.D.	0.56	0.20	0.9	1.5×10 <sup>8</sup>

- ✓ A larger  $\Delta V_{th}$  → High fluorine and carbon content in the AC bulk → Fluorination treatment brought by PLN.
- ✓ Excellent electrical uniformity → An effective fluorination method for large-area displays and electronics.
- ? **TG MO TFTs**  $\rightarrow$  **BG MO TFTs** (with no metallic GE between the PLN and the AC)

TOF-SMIS depth profiles of F<sup>-</sup> and C<sup>-</sup> in

## Eluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (I)

• Process flow of BG MO TFTs (for active-matrix flat-panel display panels)



Simplified process flow (without 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> thermal annealing) → Device F0

## Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (II)

Planarization efficacy •



Insulation efficacy ٠



by 75 vertical strips (150-nm-thick AI)

 $\rightarrow$  11,250 sidewalls and 5,625 overlapped mesas (10  $\mu$ m\*10  $\mu$ m)

The fluorinated PLN layer has a good planarization and  $\checkmark$ insulation properties and are applicable to general display applications.

### Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (III)

Device F1 before PLN vs. after PLN



Electrical performance of Device F1 before/after PLN and control device



	Device F1 before PLN	Device F1 after PLN	Control Device F1*
V <sub>th</sub> (V)	-3.3	-0.7	-1
$\Delta V_{th}$ (V)	-	2.6	2.3
Hysteresis (V)	~0.4	<0.1	~0.4
SS (mV/decade)	130.2	80.8	107.8

✓ The PLN process also works for improving the performance of BG MO TFTs.

### Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (IV)

10-4

10<sup>-4</sup>

On-off ratio

SS

(mV/decade)

4.1×10<sup>9</sup>

80.8

\*Record low SS among fluorinated MO TFTs

1.5×10<sup>10</sup>

81.6

Device F1 vs. Device F0



30

0

10

 $V_{ds}(V)$ 

5

15

20

- Comparable and uniform electrical performance in Device F0
- $\rightarrow$  The PLN process itself is efficient to passivate defects and activate MO TFTs even with no need for annealing before PLN.
- $\rightarrow$  A shorter production cycle and a lower process thermal budget for more cost-effective manufacturing.

### Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (V)

TOF-SIMS analysis in Device F0



## Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (VI)

**Device F0 vs. Device NF0** 



Fluorinated polyimide (F-PI) → Device F0

Non-Fluorinated polyimide (NF-PI) → Device NF0

- Fluorine and carbon mainly origins from the F-PI PLN.
- Increased carbon intensity in the AC of Device NFO is ٠ helpless for performance improvement.
- A fluorinated PLN layer is the key, and performance  $\checkmark$ improvement after PLN is attributed to a cost-effective fluorination treatment.



## Fluorination Treatment on BG MO TFTs via PLN (VII)

• Device stability against electrical, thermal, and illumination stresses



- After PLN, both F1 and F0 exhibit significantly improved stability.
- PBTS: |ΔV<sub>th</sub>(F1)| < |ΔV<sub>th</sub>(F0)| ← more defects in Device F1 are compensated ← longer thermal annealing treatment for Device F1.
- NBIS:  $|\Delta V_{th}(F1)| > |\Delta V_{th}(F0)| \leftarrow D_0(M-F) > D_0(M-O) \leftarrow \text{more } V_0 \text{ are passivated}$ by  $F \leftarrow \text{Device } F0 \text{ is not annealed before } PLN.$
- $\rightarrow\,$  Fluorination treatment prior to oxidation treatment may lead to an enhanced illumination stability?









Device F0 before PLN

Device F0 after PLN

Device F1 before PLN

Device F1 after PLN

\*The results of Device FO before PLN is not shown because of short-circuit.



- 1. We demonstrate a PLN process using fluorinated polyimides that can improve the electrical performance of MO TFTs even without the need for additional thermal annealing steps.
- 2. The underlying mechanism is attributed to the diffusion of fluorine species from the PLN layer to the AC layer and the following defect passivation during the thermal curing of the F-PI.
- 3. Both TG and BG MO TFTs fabricated with the PLN process exhibit significantly enhanced electrical characteristics and stability.
- 4. This study provides a cost-effective fluorination method to reduce the thermal budget and shorten the production cycle in the fabrication of AM-FPD panels.

# Thank you for your kind attention!

<u>Sunbin Deng\*</u>, Shou-Cheng Dong, Rongsheng Chen, Wei Zhong, Guijun Li, Meng Zhang, Fion Yeung, Man Wong, Hoi-Sing Kwok

\*sdengaa@connect.ust.hk



